

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/349,347		07/07/1999	CATHERINE ROSENBERG	585-1003	6531		
23644	7590	03/01/2005		EXAMINER			
BARNES P.O. BOX	S & THOR	NBURG	ABELSON, RONALD B				
	O, IL 6069	90-2786	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	•			2666			
					DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Comments	09/349,34	17	ROSENBERG ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit					
		Ronald Al		2666					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ition appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMUNI	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication. lays, a reply within the statuory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered time m the mailing date of this c	ly. :ommunication.				
Status									
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>9/15/04</u> .	•						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is n	on-final.						
3)									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 23-43</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	equirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers				, in the second				
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
10)⊠	O) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 1999</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. No	te the attached Offic	e Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do			a)-(d) or (f).					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			ntion No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of		• •		Stage				
	application from the International	l Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).						
* (See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certif	fied copies not receiv	ved.					
Attachmen	ele)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	rv (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail [Date					
3) ∐ Infori Pane	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTor No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	D-152)				
гаре	i ivo(s)/iviali Date		o) 🗀 Other:						

Art Unit: 2666

· 1 -- - -

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the integration of the connection admission control (CAC), bandwidth on demand (BoD), and allocation table must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from independent claims 1, 13, 20, and 23. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the

Art Unit: 2666

filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Figure 1, as described in the specification on page 15 lines 15 and 16, shows the network connections between a SAU, CAC, and BoD. However, the SAU, CAC, and BoD are not labeled in the drawing. Therefore, the network connections are not apparent to the examiner.

Figure 3, as described in the specification on page 15 lines 21 and 22 and page 16 lines 5-16, shows a CAC allocation table. The applicant maintains that in the CAC allocation table each box represents a time-slot of a MF-TDMA common medium uplink. However, no boxes are apparent in the drawing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and

Art Unit: 2666

use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-21 and 23-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 1, 13, 20, and 23, the integration of the connection admission control (CAC), bandwidth on demand (BoD), and allocation table was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As previously mentioned with regard to the drawings, connection between the CAC and BoD is not apparent to the examiner.

Therefore, the integration of the CAC, BoD, and allocation table is also not apparent to the examiner. Although the specification states that an allocation table is controlled partly by the CAC when allocating static resources and partly by the BoD when allocating dynamic resources (pg. 11 lines 4-17), the

Art Unit: 2666

specification does not define the structure of these devices. It is unclear to the examiner if the CAC and BoD are physical devices or software modules.

4. Claims 1-21 and 23-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 13, 20, and 23, the applicant has not distinctly claimed the integration of the CAC, BoD, and allocation table (see reasoning above with respect to the 112 1st paragraph rejection).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Abelson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3165. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 2666

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ru

Ronald Abelson Examiner Art Unit 2666

Page 6

* * *

TECHNOLOGY CENTER SECT